

Brazil Camp Meeting, 24-27 March 2022
Parminder Biant #2 (3-27-2022)
Your Truth vs. My Truth – Survival of the Fittest

Let's open with a word of prayer

Holy God, we thank you for your watch care over your people. As we consider the events that are happening externally and internally, help us to have a clearer understanding of what your will is, how you bend and how you mould providence. How people both big and small are pawns in your hand. How you mix freedom, free will and submission in each of us. As we see prophecy being fulfilled before our eyes, whilst at the same time we enjoy free choice, we marvel at your wisdom and your power, today as each of us is exercising our own free will. May we sense the Holy Spirit moving amongst us, asking us to submit our free will, our free choice, to your will, to your choice. In Jesus' name we pray, AMEN.

Yesterday's presentation, I went through a question-and-answer interview with a Russian filmmaker called Maxim Pozdorovkin. I didn't give the reference to the news article that I was using. I chose not to do that so that we could focus on the words. But some people found the article I was referring to and posted it on some of the forums.

The title of the article was, *Americans May be Greatly Under-Estimating the Impact of Ten Years of Putin's Propaganda.*

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/////putin-propaganda-pozdorovkin-qa/>

So, Question #6, someone asked me to repeat that. Question #5 spoke about shadow boxing and I tried to explain what that meant. A similar term in English is called sabre rattling and a sabre is a sword. It is when two opponents or two enemies stand in front of each other and shake their weapons but actually don't do anything. So, the questioner says, based on the previous question, it's a two-part question, speaking about the nuclear threat. So, the nuclear threat is not so much viewed as sabre rattling but rather "look what you made me do?".

You, the West, America. Me, Russia. Look what the Americans made the Russians do. You, the West, forced us to press the button. So that is the question. Is this the Russian mindset?

Answer Exactly. We don't want to use the nuclear option, but what choice do we have? Because our conventional weapons can't match your weapons, and we can all see this happening before our eyes as we watch the news.

We can argue and discuss that the Russians underestimated the Ukrainians, that they did not properly prepare. But if you know anything about covert, secret warfare, if you know anything about special operation units, then you can't be so naïve to think the Ukrainians are fighting this war on their own. It's certain that the West, that the Americans, have soldiers on the ground in Ukraine. So, it's not just a matter of incompetency on the part of the Russians. It's not that easy to kill seven (plus or minus) generals, even if the Russians operate in a certain known manner. And I would argue that just the Ukrainians spying on people's cell-phone usage, wouldn't detect those generals.

Before the war, there were fears and concerns that the Ukrainians were no match for the Russians, so how come they are so successful? They must be receiving additional, covert support and help from NATO. It's naïve to think otherwise, in my opinion.

So, we are funnelling or forcing the Russians into a corner. There is no way that they are going to win with conventional weapons. So, when they say, look what you made me do, you can begin to understand the decisions that they are taking. We need to understand our own mindset and their mindset. What are the Ukrainians saying? You, the Russians, are trying to destroy our way of life, and what we in the West don't understand, which this interviewer was trying to bring forth, is that West doesn't understand the Russian mindset. And I believe that we think that the Russian people don't agree with Putin. If you just show them the other side of the argument, they would turn to us. That if a son in Ukraine rings up his father in Russia and says, look what they're doing, that the father will say to the son, I didn't realise, thank you for informing me. Because it doesn't work.

The indoctrination, the manipulation of information has happened for so long, is so entrenched in the mindset of the Russian people, it's intergenerational, that it's not going to be easy to persuade them.

Now, I don't know what you were thinking when you understood, when you were taught, about the death of the King of the South. That the king of the south would be brought down internally by his own people. I'm not saying that that is not going to happen. What I am saying is that whatever it ends up looking like, however it plays out, it's not going to be as clean, as clinical and as painless as you may have thought. Yes, it's not going to be as straightforward as you imagined. And I don't just mean that there's going to be inflation and food and fuel is going to be more expensive.

We have a very over simplistic view of prophecy. Its actual fulfillment is much more complicated than we realize. There are still many things we have to learn and unlearn.

Ok, that was Question #6. Someone asked me what that was. We'll try to pick up from where we left off. I'm going to go back to Question #11.

So, there's a lack of true information in Russia and there's a lot of propaganda. If people begin to suffer in Russia, and they are, there's no bread, things are getting more expensive, there's already claims that 10% of the Russian military force that went to Ukraine are dead, will that be a wake-up call to the Russian people? The answer is no. Because you're familiar with this term, "double down". "Double down" is an English term which means whatever direction I'm going in, I'm going to continue to go in that direction in a stronger more forceful fashion. It's called "doubling down". It means doing the same thing harder.

So, the Russian people are going to double down and they're going to realize that they are victims and they're going to be even stronger in their support of Putin. Question... who are they going to blame? Putin for their mess or us, NATO for their mess? They're not going to blame Putin. They're going to blame the West.

What has Putin identified what is going on as? What term has he used? He has said that Western sanctions are an act of war. They're a weapon. He's connecting the pain of the people with the invasion of war. It's all a defence or an attack upon the Russian way of life. This is not an invasion, but this is a defensive move to defend the way of the Russian lifestyle. The West has bribed the Ukrainians, filled them with Nazis, as they say, and when you go to defend your way of life, protect your fellow Russians in Ukraine, the West don't send airplanes, they send sanctions.

Question #13. And that's why the idea that harsh economic sanctions will make ordinary Russians call for an end of the war a fallacy. So, it's a fallacy that if you put sanctions on Russia, the Russian people are going to change?

Answer: absolutely. What the people will do in these difficult times is the opposite. They will stand in defence of their country, in defence of Putin, and they will see this display of Western strength as an attack upon them.

Now, my personal thoughts are that I am not saying that sanctions are wrong, that they're not necessary, but they are too little too late. The EU has totally misunderstood the dynamics, the situation in Europe. That, in combination with four years of Trump, has totally destroyed and weakened the West's position. And some of the worst offenders in this tragedy are the Germans and the French. We can blame the politicians of those countries but those politicians have been elected to those positions by the people. It's not that easy to separate the Russian people from the Russian government, so likewise it's not easy to separate the people in Europe from their elected officials.

It may surprise you but there are some people in this world who are more principled than others. When you go to Germany in particular and you see what has driven that country over the past ten years (it's more than ten years, it's 40 years), is money. The Bible says filthy lucre,

filthy dirty money, and now they're paying the price for this. But not only them, all of us collectively are. We're at the place now that Russia is threatening to press the nuclear button. However large or small that button is, because it comes in various sizes.

Question #14. So, how did they do that? Is the Russian state media, the nightly programs that they have, filled with bombed out Ukrainian apartment buildings?

So, how are the Russian media persuading the Russian people about what's going on? Are they showing the Russian people the same pictures that we're seeing?

Answer: No. Of course, they're not. They're not doing that. The Russian media is showing the Russian army attacking military bases. They're projecting strength. You see Ukrainian civilians, but you don't see pictures of them dead or being thrown out of their buildings, but pictures of Russian soldiers handing out food to elderly people. It shows how they're helping the Ukrainian people against the great threat of the West.

Question #15. So, this is all part of the reunification narrative?

Answer: Yes. It fits exactly nicely into that narrative, into that story. Reunify the people and save them from the Nazi government. And the Nazi government is just a puppet or an instrument of the West. And that's when maybe you might see some bombed out apartment buildings.

Question #16. Why would Ukrainians be bombing their own apartment buildings?

Answer: It's NATO that's doing that.

Final Question #17: It's a rhetorical question, so it's a statement really. NATO isn't attacking Ukraine?

The answer: The covert Russian answer, does it matter? They can just use the images and tell their own story. Because after all, this is an information war.

As we see this war being prosecuted, developing over the weeks, it may have taken you by surprise. I suspect it has. What do I mean by that? What is the surprise? I'm going to suggest it's the following: it's part of the human psyche, the way we think, to compartmentalize things, to put them into nice little boxes.

Maybe this is not the exact correct way of explaining something, but we have vertical thinkers and lateral thinkers. It's not exactly the correct terminology, but I'll use it generally. People are very poor at multitasking, at taking many pieces of information and integrating them together into a cohesive narrative. Our brains are designed, constructed in a certain way and we have, I'm going to call it a bad habit, but it is necessary, to take complex information and

simplify it. What we do is look at the world, this complicated world, and try to understand it in a simple fashion. We want people to summarize things for us, give us the short answer, the simple answer.

We Christians are some of the worst people when it comes to this issue. I am one of the worst proponents of this. I'm one of the most-guilty of doing this. What am I talking about? As soon as you start viewing the world from a parabolic mindset, when you start explaining a complicated world through parables, you are doomed, you have no hope of being hurt and damaged. Because you will begin to think that things are straight forward and simple, and that combined with the way that our brains work leaves you in a very vulnerable and difficult place.

So, in the past you read inspiration and you believe in what we call hot war. Then four years ago we're told, no, it's information war. So, because our brains are flawed, (flawed almost means defective but I don't want to use the word defective) because what is necessary ends up becoming a problem. So, we have flaws; we have weaknesses. Those weaknesses, those flaws are necessary but they can be exploited.

So, we start talking about information war and what do you do in order to be able to live and function? In order to understand what's going on? You throw away your old model and take the new one and replace it. This is not correct but I'm going to call it replacement theology. Throw away hot war and take information war on board now. And what happens when you do that? When Russia says, all these soldiers are just a military exercise, we're just training in Belarus, don't worry. We say of course, it's just sabre rattling, because we know it's all information war. There's not going to be a hot war. And then we say, on the 24th of February, okay, it's a little bit hotter than we thought. But don't worry because it's not a real hot war. Because we all know what hot war means. It means American boots on Russian soil or Russian boots on American soil.

I want to remind you (I can't prove it but I can prove this first bit but not the second) fact: Ukraine is part of Russia. The maps may not show it, you may not believe it, the so-called Nazi government may not believe it, but Russia do and not just Putin. That's fact. I can't prove that there are American troops on Ukrainian soil today but there are. If that's not the definition of hot war, what is? American boots on Russian territory.

So, this is the problem with our simplistic, compartmentalization. When we were children, we thought as children. See 2012, 2014, 2016. This is under the direction of FFA; we were children. But now we've become adults we need to think like adults. We need to stop talking to one another as though we're children. When you have a teenager and they ask what's going on, you simplify things. And we're so used to doing that amongst ourselves, it's easy to get caught off guard, which is what has happened.

The Americans have got an open stated public position. We should all know this; I believe we all do. If you've kept up with the news, you know this. It's this simple concept: they don't separate information warfare from a missile or hot warfare. They have an integrated response. If Russia takes down the electrical grid in the United States through a computer virus, the Americans have the right, in their opinion, to go and bomb Russia. They don't say, oh you slapped me, I'll slap you back.

If you watch movies and I know you don't, sometimes they have these scenes. These two gangs are going to come to a fight and one of them pulls out a knife, and the other party says, "you came to a gunfight and you brought a knife?" And they just shoot them. This is the American philosophy, the American idea, the American response. Any kind of attack, the response to that can be appropriate depending on what the Americans think. So, if the Russians want to manipulate the American elections, as an example, America is free to take any response that it wants. That's how it views the warfare.

So, what I'm trying to say is this: as we view the Ukrainian war and we speak about spheres of influence, we speak about information war, we speak about the death of the King of the South, we say that the King of the South will be brought down by his own people. These are all parabolic models. They are all correct. But when you see their fulfillment in real life, I'm suggesting that it's not as straightforward as you may think.

So, I've spoken about this Russian filmmaker, Maxim Pozdorovkin. Now he is pro-West. In the few remaining moments that we have I want to speak about someone else and this person is not pro-West. We could call him a prophet or a guru. He's Russian. I'm sure some of you have heard of him but probably most of you haven't. And as soon as I mention his name, you're all going to be on the internet finding information about him.

What I'm going to do is share screen a little video. It's about ten minutes. Now obviously this is all going to be in English so I want to apologize to all the translators.

Now remember this person, you can see his name is Aleksandr Dugin, and you can see it says, "Russia has a Special Truth". You have all heard the terms, unilateral and multilateral politics. You have heard people speaking about the *New World Order*. So, after 1989, coming into 1991, the Americans spoke about the *New World Order* and all the Adventist conspiracy theories said, see, there's a *New World Order*, the globalists are going to take over.

But we all know, you should know, because it's been taught before in the Movement, what the Americans meant. They deceived the Russians, they said, we're all going to be friends. But they double crossed them and said, we're going to have a new world order and we are going to be the boss. We will be the superpower and it will be a unilateral system, one leader, which is not what the Russians were promised. And this person is going to comment on this and he's

going to try to explain how the Russians see things. And remember what this person thinks, is what Putin thinks. Because Putin has developed his ideology, I'll call it his theology, from this person. Okay, so let's listen:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GGunRKWtWBs>

AD: *Everything is relative. And we need, we in Russia, we could use post modernity in order to explain to the West that if any truth is relative. So, we have our special Russian truths that you need to accept as something that maybe is not your truth.*

BBC: *Even if it's not true?*

AD: *But if the truth is relative that doesn't mean that truth doesn't exist. That means that absolute truth, one for all, doesn't exist.*

BBC: *Let's take an example. If you watch Russian television today, you'd think that Russia and America were about to go to war.*

AD: *Yes, but the same if we are examining, if we are reading American prayers, we have the same impression.*

BBC: *Not really. I've just come back from America. You don't really get that impression. Do you think this is genuinely the case?*

AD: *No, I think that now the situation is, in Syria for example, the United States continue to be unipolar or continuing to consider itself as unipolar power, it says no more Assad. and athleticists powers of the western Europe repeats after Washington, we doesn't want any more Assad. But Putin, other civilization, says stop, let have Assad. And after that there are, there is, our nuclear and military power beside and behind Assad. So, and that is serious. If you are boss, you could not let the other decide if you really is a boss, decide what to do in your room of responsibility. It is conflict. And Russia says, no, you are not boss, you are not any more boss. That's very serious. It is, if we insist on multi-polarity and if behind us there is nuclear weapon and the iron will to defend for example in the little case of Assad, defend Assad, as it is principally, not because we have so much interest there. That is the question of who rules the world. That is the problem.*

BBC: *Is war possible?*

AD: *Only war could decide really, who is the boss.*

BBC: *Do you think that's really a possibility?*

I just want to stop for a moment. This interview was recorded in 2016 and for those of you who can understand the English, you can see what the struggle is about. He says, without using the term, it's a sphere of influence. They go back to Syria, Assad, and he says, we don't even care about Assad, it's not even a big issue to us. He says the only reason we protected or defended Assad was to poke a finger at the United States, the West. We wanted to make a statement, we Russia, that you Americans are not the boss, and we will defend Assad to make that point. He's telling you Assad is a pawn in this story. This is exactly what the message that this Movement teaches has been saying. It's fascinating to watch prophecy being fulfilled in the words of a political commentator. I'll carry on:

AD: The United States doesn't want to start a war. You should recognize, the United States should recognize, openly, for all humanity, all of mankind, that the United States is not any more unique master. So, let's recognize that, there will be no war. But we understand, our position is, that we are going to fight up to the end in order to show to any to everybody that United States is not any more unique master. It's very serious.

When he says, “we will show to the end”, if you tie what he said to the previous interview, what is the end? Russia has a GDP that's equivalent to Italy. GDP is just like your economic strength. They're not a strong country. The only thing that makes them strong is their nuclear weaponry. And so, when he says to the end, he means the nuclear option. We'll carry on:

AD: It is very serious. Because we are seriously going to show and to confirm that we are entering in the multipolar world. And the situation in Syria, on Ukraine and anywhere else, that's only the case to prove that. We don't want that but we understand that if we will be not ready to pay all the price for that, we could not gain.

BBC: So, Ukraine and Syria are all about proving to America that they're not the boss?

AD: Yes, yes, absolutely. Absolutely, that they are not unique boss.

Can we see what the issue today in Ukraine is? As he says, this is to prove a point. And this interview was recorded long before this Ukrainian incursion. We'll carry on:

BBC: Can I ask you a personal question? When you watch the news on Russian television, do you believe what you see?

AD: Absolutely.

BBC: Really? You're an intelligent man. Surely you can't?

AD: Yes... because...

BBC: Some of the most outlandish things?

AD: *No, no, absolutely. Post-modernism teaches us to understand our sociology as well, and what is total fact. According to Durkheim, the founder of sociology, Emil Durkheim, affirmed that total fact it is the fact the society believes.*

BBC: *So, if enough people believe it, it'll become true?*

AD: *No, no. The truth is the question of belief. And post-modernity shows that every so-called truth is the matter of believing. So, we believe in what we do, we believe in what we say and that is the only way to define the truth. The truth is the matter of belief.*

Can you see why the rhetoric, the position of Europe, is naïve? Listen to the news, listen to what your leaders in Europe are saying. They want the Russians to have a level of common sense and this man is saying, it's nothing to do with common sense, it's about the truth. He has a version of the truth because he just believes it. And that's why you cannot reason with Putin. We have now arrived at the point of no return. The conflict between the two kings is now inevitable. It's a war to the death. And what I'm saying is, when that death happens, it's going to affect each of us in a more significant way than we realize.

We've got five minutes left:

AD: *And that is not only our position. Because when I see Western media, I ask myself, how the people could lie in such way? They lie about everything in the world. But after that, I say to myself, stop! That is not lie. It is their truth. They are completely convinced in their truth.*

BBC: *No, wait. I work in the Western media. I'm a reporter. I go out on the ground. I report what I see. Nobody, nobody, tells me from on high what the editorial line should be.*

AD: *No, no, no. There is no such thing as the fact. It's always interpretation. There is no such things as a fact. Vagenstein (?) has proven that there is no fact, there's only interpretation. You see because you interpret these or so or so. Our manner of thinking, of any man, of any culture, of any civilization, it deals only with interpretation. You cannot see nothing without interpretation. What you see, it's interpretation.*

BBC: *I see you can argue that philosophically*

AD: *It is not philosophical. It is practical*

BBC: *But if somebody flies an airplane and drops a bomb on a building in Aleppo, somebody saw that aeroplane, somebody saw that bomb.*

AD: *Sorry, it is no. Who has fixed that? Whose airplane is it? What is the bomb? What is the quarter the bomb is falling on? What are the people and there begins our interpretation. Because it is the war. It's very difficult to go in it to see and to prove for you any bomb falling*

is Russian bomb, any killed by Russian bomb should be in innocent civilian and any harm that is done in the Aleppo or in the Syria is absolutely, from the beginning, you have no idea that could be different. Because you're a real normal, western man, western reporter, western journalist. It is your truth to say, to presume, to be completely convinced the Russians are evil.

BBC: I've spent many years studying Russian and learning to love Russia and all things Russian...

AD: But nevertheless, that is our bombs that destroy and also civilians everywhere.

BBC: I'm not saying they all are but sometimes they are, right?

AD: Never, never, never. Only American and American marionettes of ISIS and the other shuttle neutral bombs are killing innocent civilians.

Can you see the narrative that he is portraying about Syria is the same game play that's being done in the Ukraine today? The Russians didn't do anything. It's all western propaganda. What they did in Syria, they're now doing in Ukraine. It's the same plan. He says, you're a foolish, blind, western journalist. You have been manipulated by the western government. He said, none of it was anything to do with Russia. And that's exactly the narrative that they're portraying or giving today.

Let's carry on:

BBC: And that's the only ones killing civilians?

AD: That is your interpretation.

BBC: But you can't really believe that? I mean, I don't believe it. I don't believe that Russia is responsible for all the destruction. Neither do I believe it's responsible for none of the destruction. I mean, it's just not credible.

AD: No. But when we see Western media, we see when, for example, someone is a little bit on the other, try to say that maybe not in any case the Russian bombers that destroy the civilians, so it is cut. So maybe personally there are the people who are with broader sense of understanding reality. But if we take the western media, we see that normal reportage, normal observation of what is going on with Syria, is completely biased by these preconceived ideas.

BBC: And I understand that. And I recognise that, but isn't it true that Russian television has seen that there is some implicit bias in how people come to where they are and they have taken it and multiplied it by 100.

AD: *We could say, we could speak on two levels. If you affirm that the West says the truth and the Russia says lie, I would respond Russia says truth. That this truth is absolute truth and the West says lie.*

BBC: *If I say to you, Western journalists are often biased and mistaken but they try to tell the truth, whereas Russian TV has gone to the other side and they are not even trying to tell the truth anymore.*

AD: *No, no, no and if you say that Western TV is sometime biased, and sometimes not, I would say Russian TV is sometime biased, sometimes not. If you say we are sometimes not biased but you are always biased, I would say, exactly. You are sometime bias, we are sometimes not biased.*

BBC: *We are always exactly equal?*

AD: *Absolutely. And that is multi-polarity. Nobody has monopoly on the truth. The truth is relative. I could accept that but only if you accept that. If you say, our truth is absolute, I would respond immediately, our truth is absolute. I don't believe that and you don't believe it. Or maybe you believe if you believe that your truth is absolute, you are normal, western man. but normal western.*

BBC: *I don't believe anyone's truth is absolute.*

AD: *Okay, we could agree on that at least.*

So, I hope that that interview was instructional. What I wanted us to see was a window into Putin's mind. Not so that you would agree or empathize, but that as observers you would understand. This war between the King of the North and the King of the South, that began just over 30 years ago when the Americans announced the New World Order, has brought us to this point. The Russians are fighting for their lives. When I say the Russians and they, maybe we can just say the King of the South. But Putin has got most of the country behind him, with him. And in many ways, he's on the defence, and the Americans, the King of the North, is the aggressor.

This presentation, it is not my purpose to defend or support the Russian perspective. That's not our objective as students of prophecy. What I want to do is help us to understand and see that the simplistic parabolic stories that we have, when they're fulfilled in real life, are complicated and more painful than you may appreciate. Painful individually to ourselves. Because it means that we have to rethink some of the simple ideas that we had.

I'm certain that each of our simple ideas of end time prophecy are going to be challenged and I don't want to portray that as it being a problem with you, as an individual. That there's

something wrong with you. That's not my point. We are human beings and we are inherently weak. Our construction, our mind, our brains are fragile. And when God gives us a story, depending on how we want to view it, God either chooses or doesn't have a choice, but to simplify things, so that we can hold on to things and believe them. But their fulfillment can be substantially different to what we expect. Each of us needs to pay attention to what's happening and each of us must be careful that we don't lose our faith as things may turn out, things may fulfill, in a way that is different to our expectations. We have to hold on to a simple model whilst we're observing a complicated fulfillment of prophecy. If you can't hold on to these two concepts at the same time, you will make shipwreck of your faith.

Let's pray:

Holy God, I want to thank you. I want to thank you that you have chosen me, that you have given me the privilege of being in this Movement. I understand that it's a combination of the human and the divine. There must have been something that you saw in me that allowed me, that afforded me the privilege of being in this Movement. But my journey is not complete. You're still observing me and supporting me and it's still not certain whether or not I will stay till the end.

As I speak about myself, I also speak about every person who is in this Movement. Each of us were specifically chosen, identified and called out from the world. You saw something special in us but that does not guarantee us success. There is still opportunity for us to fail as we see events fulfilling before our eyes. Not only fulfilling but we have an understanding of what's happening in a way that we never had in the past. We walked by blindly through 911. We didn't understand 2014. We still don't understand fully 2019. But we're here in 2022 and we understand enough about what is happening but it's caught us by surprise. The ferocity, the heat, the destruction, the death. Help us to understand that the death of the King of the South will be difficult and prolonged and will not look as we suspected it would.

I pray for myself and each of us in this Movement, that we hold on to the truth that you have given to us. That we don't lose our faith.

In Jesus' name, AMEN